arXiv:hep-th/9512134v1 18 Dec 1995

HUB-IEP 95/31
hep-th/9512134
December 1995

# Octonions and Supersymmetry[1]

C.R. PREITSCHOPF

*Institut für Physik*
*Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin*
*Invalidenstr. 110, 10115 Berlin, Germany*

## ABSTRACT

We apply the techniques of $S^7$-algebras to the construction of N=5-8 superconformal algebras and of S**O**(1,9), a modification of SO(1,9) which commutes with $S^7$-transformations. We discuss the relevance of S**O**(1,9) for off-shell super-Maxwell theory in D=(1,9).

## 1. N=5-8 Superconformal Algebras

We seek to generalize the $N = 4$ superconformal algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
J^I(z)J^J(w) \;&=\; \frac{-c/3}{(z-w)^2}\,\delta^{IJ} \;+\; \frac{1}{z-w}\,\epsilon^{IJK}\,\Big(J^K(z)+J^K(w)\Big) \;\;, \\
J^I(z)G_a(w) \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\,\sigma^I_{ab}\,G_b(w)] \;\;, \\
G_a(z)G_b(w) \;&=\; \frac{2c/3}{(z-w)^3}\,\delta_{ab} \;+\; \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}\,\sigma^I_{ab}\,\Big(J^I(z)\;+\;J^I(w)\Big) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{z-w}\,\delta_{ab}\,\Big(L(z)+L(w)\Big)
\end{aligned} \qquad (1)$$

with

$$I,J,K \in \{1,2,3\} \qquad , a,b \in \{0,1,2,3\}$$

and

$$\sigma^I_{ab} \;=\; [e^*_a\; e_b\; e^{I*}].$$

The symbols $e_a$ and $e^{I*}$ denote unit quaternions and their conjugates, and by the bracket [...] we mean the real part of a hypercomplex number. The step from $N = 4$ to $N > 4$ proceeds by first generalizing $\mathrm{SU}(2) \simeq S^3$ to $S^7$. The latter is not a group manifold anymore, and therefore we generalize the structure constants $\epsilon^{IJK}$ to structure functions

---

[1] Talk presented at the Workshop on *Gauge theories, applied supersymmetry and quantum gravity*, Leuven, Belgium, July 10-14, 1995.

$$T_X^{IJK} \;=\; [(e^{I*}X^*)\;(Xe^J)\;e^{K*}] \;=:\; [(e^{I*} \underset{X}{\circ} e^J)\;e^{K*}] \quad , \tag{2}$$

defined using imaginary unit octonions $e^I$ and the octonionic coordinate $X, |X| = 1$ on the seven-sphere [1, 2, 3, 4]:

$$\begin{aligned} J^I(z)J^J(w) \;&=\; \frac{k}{(z-w)^2}\,\delta^{IJ} \;+\; \frac{2}{z-w}\;:T_X^{IJK}(w)\;J^K(w): \;\; , \\ J^I(z)X(w) \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\;X(w)\;e^{I*} \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where now of course $I, J, K \in \{1, \cdots, 7\}$ and $a, b \in \{0, \cdots, 7\}$. We remark that octonions are nonassociative:

$$e^{I*} \underset{X}{\circ} e^J \;=\; (e^{I*}X^*)\;(Xe^J) \;\neq\; e^{I*}e^J \quad , \tag{4}$$

otherwise $S^7$ would be as simple as $S^3$ and many of the problems we address and which remain would vanish.

Eq.(3) looks quite nonlinear, and is for that reason difficult to generalize. The algebra becomes much more manageable if we first represent $X$ by an uncomstrained octonion $\lambda$ via $X = \lambda/|\lambda|$ and work with operators $K \;=\; \lambda J^*$ instead of $J$. Then we obtain [5]

$$\begin{aligned} K_a(z)K_b(w) \;&=\; \frac{2}{z-w}\;\lambda_{[a}\;K_{b]}(w) \\ K_a(z)\lambda_b(w) \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\;(|\lambda|^2\delta_{ab} \;-\; \lambda_a\lambda_b)(w) \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which means that the structure functions are linear in the coordinate $\lambda$. If we define fermionic generators $F^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \{0, \cdots, 7\}$ if N=8, or $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ if $N < 8$, this almost holds for the structure functions of the entire superconformal algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} K_a(z)K_b(w) \;&=\; \frac{2}{z-w}\;\lambda_{[a}\;K_{b]}(w) \\ K_a(z)F^\alpha(w) \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\;\sigma_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}\;K_b(w)\;\partial\theta^\beta(w) \\ F^\alpha(z)F^\beta(w) \;&=\; \frac{-1}{(z-w)^2}\;\Big(\;\lambda_a\;\sigma_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}\;K_b(z)\;\lambda_a\;\sigma_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}\;K_b(w)\;\Big) + \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{z-w}\left(\delta^{\alpha\beta}\left(|\lambda|^2 L - \partial\lambda_a K_a - \partial\theta^\alpha F^\alpha\right) \;+\; 2\partial\theta^{(\alpha}F^{\beta)}\right) \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The structure functions in eq.(6) depend not only on the coordinates of the seven-sphere, but also on their superpartners $\theta^\mu, \mu \in \{0, \cdots, 7\}$. It should be stressed that both eq.(5) and eq.(6) are computed as classical algebras, i.e. neglecting double commutators. We should add the action of the generators on the coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_a(z)\lambda_b(w) \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\,(|\lambda|^2\delta_{ab} \;-\; \lambda_a\lambda_b)(w) \\
F^\alpha(z)\lambda_b(w) \;&=\; \frac{-1}{(z-w)}\,\lambda_a\,(\sigma^\alpha\bar\sigma^\beta)_{ab}\,\partial\theta^\beta(w) \\
F^\alpha(z)\theta^\beta(w) \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\,\delta^{\alpha\beta}\,|\lambda|^2(w) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

A representation is furnished by the explicit example

$$\begin{aligned}
K_a \;&=\; \lambda_b\lambda_b w_a \;-\; \lambda_b w_b \lambda_a \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\mu\nu}_{ab}\lambda_b\psi^\mu\psi^\nu \\
F^\alpha \;&=\; |\lambda|^2 p^\alpha \;+\; \lambda_a\,(\sigma^\alpha\bar\sigma^\beta)_{ab}\,w_b\,\partial\theta^\beta \\
&\quad + 2\,\psi^\alpha\,\psi^\beta\,\partial\theta^\beta \;+\; \partial x_a(\sigma^\alpha\bar\sigma^\mu)_{ab}\,\lambda_b\,\psi^\mu \\
L \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\partial\lambda_a w_a \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a\partial w_a \;+\; \frac{1}{2}\partial x_a\partial x_a \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\psi_a\partial\psi_a \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We may now rewrite the complete algebra in terms of the currents $J^I(z)$ and $G_a(z) = |\lambda|^{-1}[X\ F\ e_{a*}]$. We note that $G_a(z)$ is reducible for $N < 8$.

$$\begin{aligned}
J^I(z)G_a(w) \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\,\Bigg((\bar\sigma^\alpha\sigma^I X)_a\,(X\sigma^\alpha G) \\
&\quad + 4\,(\delta^{IK}_{\alpha\beta} \;+\; \delta^{IJ}T^{IJK}_X\,)J^K\,(\bar\sigma^\alpha X)_a\,\frac{\partial\theta^\beta}{|\lambda|}\Bigg)(w) \\
G_a(z)G_b(w) \;&=\; \frac{-1}{(z-w)^2}\,\Bigg(\,(\bar\sigma^\alpha X)_a\,(\bar\sigma^\beta X)_b\,\Big(2\delta^{0I}_{\alpha\beta} - \delta^{JK}_{\alpha\beta}T^{IJK}_X\Big)\,J^I(z) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \;(z \longleftrightarrow w)\,\Bigg) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{z-w}\,\Bigg(\,(\bar\sigma^\alpha X)_{(a}\,(\bar\sigma^\beta\partial X)_{b)}\,\Big(2\delta^{0I}_{\alpha\beta} - \delta^{JK}_{\alpha\beta}T^{IJK}_X\Big)\,J^I(z) \\
&\quad + (\bar\sigma^\gamma X)_{(a}\,(\bar\sigma^{\beta\delta}\sigma^\gamma)_{b)}\,\frac{\partial\theta^\delta}{|\lambda|}\,(X\sigma^\beta G) \\
&\quad + (\bar\sigma^\alpha X)_a\,(\bar\sigma^\alpha X)_b\Big(L \;+\; (X\sigma^I\partial X)J^I\Big)\Bigg)(w) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The basic structure of the algebra becomes clear if we set $X = 1$ and $\theta = 0$, $\partial\theta = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
J^I(z)G_a(w)\,\Big|_{X=1,\theta=0} \;&=\; \frac{1}{z-w}\,[e^*_a e^{I*} e_\alpha]\,G_\alpha(w) \\
G_a(z)G_b(w)\,\Big|_{X=1,\theta=0} \;&=\; \delta^\alpha_a\delta^\beta_b\left\{\frac{1}{(z-w)^2}\,[e^*_a e^*_\beta e^{I*}]\,(J^I(z) \;+\; J^I(w))\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad\qquad + \frac{2}{z-w}\ \delta^{\alpha\beta}\ L(w)\ \Big\} \\
J^I(z)\psi^\mu(w)\ \Big|_{X=1,\theta=0} &= \frac{1}{z-w}\ [e^\mu e^{\nu *} e_{I*}]\ \psi^\nu \\
G_a(z)\psi^\mu(w)\ \Big|_{X=1,\theta=0} &= \frac{1}{z-w}\ \delta^\alpha_a\ [e^*_\alpha e^\mu e_b]\ \partial x_b(w) \\
G_a(z)x_b(w)\Big|_{X=1,\theta=0} &= \frac{1}{z-w}\ \delta^\alpha_a\ [e_\alpha e^*_b e^{\mu *}]\ \psi^\mu \qquad , \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is an algebra very similar to the nonassociative construction of Defever, Troost et.al.[6]. We interprete eq.(10) as a $N > 4$ superconformal algebra "at the north pole of $S^7$". Almost all the complications evident in eq.(9) are due to the nonassociativity of octonions forcing us off the north pole.

The quantum versions of the above algebras are straightforward but quite tedious to elaborate. For $N < 8$, field-dependent central terms arise. It is easy to arrive at a quantum N=8 algebra with only C-number central terms: one simply leaves out all the $\psi$- and $x$-dependence in eq.(8). It is more difficult, but possible for N=8, to achieve that also in the general case. For a thorough discussion of the various quantum versions of the $N = 8$ algebra we recommend H. Samtleben's discussion [7].

## 2. SO(1,9) and N=1 Supersymmetric Maxwell-Theory in D=10

The off-shell supersymmetry transformations of a U(1) gauge theory in D=(1,3) and D=(1,5) may be put in the form [8]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_\epsilon A_M &= 2i\ [\epsilon^*_{\dot\alpha} \bar\sigma^{\dot\alpha\alpha}_M \psi_\alpha] \\
\delta_\epsilon D^I &= 2i\ [\epsilon^*_{\dot\alpha} \bar\sigma^{\dot\alpha\alpha}_M \sigma^I{}_\alpha{}^\beta \partial^M \psi_\beta] \\
\delta_\epsilon \psi_\alpha &= \partial_M A_N \sigma^{MN}{}_\alpha{}^\beta \epsilon_\beta\ -\ D^I \sigma^I{}_\alpha{}^\beta \epsilon_\beta \qquad , \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha, \dot\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}$, $M \in \{0, \cdots, D-1\}$, $I \in \{1, \cdots, D-3\}$, the spinor components $\epsilon_\alpha, \psi_\alpha$ take values in **C** or **H**, and the bracket [...] takes the real part of a (hyper)complex expression. The various sigma-matrices are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\psi^\alpha\ \sigma^M{}_{\alpha\dot\alpha}\ \chi^{\dot\alpha}] &= \sqrt{2}\delta^M_+[\epsilon^*_1\lambda_1]\ -\ \sqrt{2}\delta^M_-[\epsilon^*_2\lambda_2]\ +\ [\epsilon^*_1 e^\mu \lambda_2]\ +\ [\epsilon^*_2 e^{\mu *}\lambda_1] \\
[\epsilon^*_{\dot\alpha}\ \bar\sigma^{M\dot\alpha\alpha}\ \lambda_\alpha] &= -\sqrt{2}\delta^M_-[\epsilon^*_1\lambda_1]\ +\ \sqrt{2}\delta^M_+[\epsilon^*_2\lambda_2]\ -\ [\epsilon^*_1 e^\mu \lambda_2]\ -\ [\epsilon^*_2 e^{\mu *}\lambda_1] \\
[\psi^\alpha\ \sigma^I{}_\alpha{}^\beta\ \lambda_\beta] &= [\psi^1\lambda_1 e^{I*}] + [\psi^2\lambda_2 e^{I*}] \\
[\lambda^*_{\dot\alpha}\ \sigma^{I\dot\alpha}{}_{\dot\beta}\ \psi^{*\dot\beta}] &= [\lambda^{1*}\psi^*_1 e^{I*}] + [\lambda^{2*}\psi^*_2 e^{I*}] \qquad , \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu$ is a SO(D-2) vector index, i.e. $\mu \in \{1, \cdots, D-2\}$, and as usual $\sigma^{MN} = \sigma^{[M}\bar\sigma^{N]}$. It is useful to introduce the real spinor $\psi_A = \psi_{1,a}, \psi_{2,\dot a}$ in terms of two SO(D-2)-spinors with $\psi_1 = \psi_{1,a}e_a$, $\psi_2 = \psi_{2,\dot a}$, $a, \dot a \in \{0, \cdots, D-3\}$. Then the sigma-matrices have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^M_{AB} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\delta^M_+\delta_{ab} & \sigma^\mu_{a\dot{b}} \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{\dot{a}b} & -\sqrt{2}\delta^M_-\delta_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \end{pmatrix} \\
\bar{\sigma}^{MAB} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}\delta^M_-\delta_{ab} & -\sigma^\mu_{a\dot{b}} \\ -\bar{\sigma}^\mu_{\dot{a}b} & \sqrt{2}\delta^M_+\delta_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \end{pmatrix} \\
\sigma^I{}_A{}^B = \bar{\sigma}^{IA}{}_B & \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^I_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^I_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \end{pmatrix} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The main properties of these sigma-matrices are $\sigma^{(M}\bar{\sigma}^{N)} = -\eta^{MN}$ and $\sigma^M\bar{\sigma}^I = \sigma^I\sigma_M$. The latter equation may be understood by looking at eq.(12): $\sigma^M$ multiplies a spinor on the left by a (hyper)complex number, while $\sigma^I$ does so on the right. Both operations commute by the associativity of multiplication. The reader may wonder about the matrices $\sigma^I$. They incorporate, in a real basis, the (hyper)complex structure of spinors in D=(1,3) and D=(1,5). One way to see why they are necessary is to count sigma-matrices, for example in D=(1,5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^M_{(AB)} &: \quad 6 \\
\sigma^{MNP}_{[AB]} &: \quad 10 \quad \text{(selfdual in } MNP) \\
(\sigma^M\bar{\sigma}^I)_{[AB]} &: \quad 3\cdot 6 \\
(\sigma^{MNP}\bar{\sigma}^I)_{(AB)} &: \quad 3\cdot 10
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Without $\sigma^I$ we would not properly fill out the total of 64 matrices with 28 antisymmetric and 36 symmetric ones. This counting makes it pretty clear why it should be hard to extend this picture to D=(1,9). There we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^M_{(AB)} &: \quad 10 \\
\sigma^{MNP}_{[AB]} &: \quad 120 \\
\sigma^{MNPQR}_{(AB)} &: \quad 126 \quad \text{(selfdual in } MNPQR) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We obtain the full $16\cdot 16 = 256$ matrices without recourse to some $\sigma^I$, which would not commute with $\sigma^M$ in any event, due to the nonassociativity of octonions.

In the following we will introduce a modification of SO(1,9), which we will call S**O**(1,9), where there does exist an octonionic structure that commutes with the generators. Using this modification in eq.(11), we will be able to retain the closure of the algebra on the bosonic fields $F_{MN} = \partial_{[M}A_{N]}$ and $D^I$, but not on the fermions $\lambda_\alpha$.

To start with, we introduce the algebra of eq.(3) once again, this time in the guise of what we will call S**O**(8):

$$\Sigma^{\mu\nu}_{ab} = [(\lambda e^{[\mu})e^{\nu]*}\partial^*_\lambda]\delta_{ab} + [(e^*_a X^*)((X e^{[\mu})e^{\nu]*})e_b] \tag{16}$$

are the generators for the spinor-representation, so that, in octonionic form, with $\zeta = \zeta_a e_a$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{\mu\nu}\zeta &= X^*(((X e^{[\mu})e^{\nu]*})\zeta) \\ \Sigma^{\mu\nu}X &= (X e^{[\mu})e^{\nu]*} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The commutators are readily computed:

$$[\Sigma^{\mu\nu}, \Sigma^{\rho\sigma}]_{ab} = -8\delta^{[\mu}{}_{[\rho}\Sigma^{\nu]}{}_{\sigma]ab} \quad , \tag{18}$$

i.e. we obtain the usual SO(8) commutation relations. However, we clearly are performing nothing but $S^7$-transformations, and hence S**O**(8) ought to be 7-dimensional. This is true, since the projection operator

$$P^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} = -\frac{1}{8}[(e^{[\mu} \underset{X}{\circ} e^{\nu]*})(e^{[\rho} \underset{X}{\circ} e^{\sigma]*})] \tag{19}$$

acts trivially on $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and has dimension 7:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma}\,\Sigma^{\rho\sigma}_{ab} &= \Sigma^{\mu\nu}_{ab} \\ P^{\mu\nu}_{\mu\nu} &= 7 \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

We have, through the introduction of the $S^7$-coordinate $X$, gained the room to introduce (an infinity of) commuting $S^7$'s, of which we pick the following example:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^I_{ab} &= \delta_{ab}\delta^I + [e^*_a X^*(((X e_b)Y^*)(Y e^{I*}))] \\ \delta^I Y &= Y e^{I*} \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where we have introduced yet another $S^7$-variable Y, which is not strictly necessary. Setting $Y = 1$ and $\delta^I Y = 0$ does not alter the picture qualitatively. That case, however, is a rewritten version of eq. (2.11) in [4]. In any case we have now

$$\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\Sigma^I = \Sigma^I\Sigma^{\mu\nu} \quad . \tag{22}$$

It remains to define the counterpart to $\sigma^\mu_{a\dot b}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^0_{ab} &= \delta_{ab} \\ \Sigma^j_{ab} &= [\lambda e^j \partial^*_\lambda]\delta_{ab} + [(e^*_a X^*)(X e^j)e_b] \\ \Sigma^\mu_{a\dot b} &= (\Sigma^0, \Sigma^j)_{a\dot b} \\ \overline{\Sigma}^\mu_{\dot a b} &= (\Sigma^0, -\Sigma^j)_{\dot a b} \\ \Sigma^I_{ab} &= [\eta e^{I*}\partial^*_\eta]\delta_{ab} + [(e^*_a X^*)((X e_b)Y^*)(Y e^{I*})] \quad , \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $Y = \eta/|\eta|$. In order to ensure the usual (anti)hermiticity properties, we integrate eq.(12) over $X$ and $Y$, with normalization $\int dX = 1$. Without these integrations, identities such as

$$\int dXdY[\psi^{a*}\,(\Sigma^{[i}\Sigma^j\Sigma^{k]})_{ab}\,\chi^b] = \int dXdY[\chi^{a*}\,(\Sigma^{[i}\Sigma^j\Sigma^{k]})_{ab}\,\psi^b] \tag{24}$$

would be incorrect.

Now we have all the ingredients we need to construct S**O**(1,9): we simply replace SO(8)-invariant matrices in eq.(13) by S**O**(8)-invariant differential operators, and inner products get integrated over $X$ and $Y$. We will define the S**O**(1,9)-invariant sigma-operators in complete analogy with eq.(13) and denote them with $\Sigma^M$, $\bar{\Sigma}^M$ and $\Sigma^I$.

The supersymmetry algebra then reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\epsilon A_M &= 2i \int dXdY\ [\epsilon^*_{\dot\alpha}\bar{\Sigma}^{\dot\alpha\alpha}_M\psi_\alpha] \\
\delta_\epsilon D^I &= 2i \int dXdY\ [\epsilon^*_{\dot\alpha}\bar{\Sigma}^{\dot\alpha\alpha}_M\Sigma^I{}_\alpha{}^\beta\partial^M\psi_\beta] \\
\delta_\epsilon\psi_\alpha &= \partial_M A_N\Sigma^{MN}{}_\alpha{}^\beta\epsilon_\beta\ -\ D^I\Sigma^I{}_\alpha{}^\beta\epsilon_\beta \qquad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

By construction, it closes off-shell on the bosonic fields. Of course, this is the easy part. The algebra does not close on the fermions, since the required Fierz-identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\int dXdYdX'dY' \left(\bar{\Sigma}_N(X)^{D(B}\ \Sigma^{MN}(X')_A{}^{C)}\ +\ \bar{\Sigma}^{MI}(X,Y)^{D(B}\ \Sigma^I(X',Y')_A{}^{C)}\right)& \\
=\ \textstyle\int dX\ \bar{\Sigma}_M(X)^{BC}\delta^D_A&
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

fails to hold. By writing $\bar{\Sigma}_M(X)^{BC}$ we wish to remind the reader of the dependence on the various $S^7$-variables. Let us, as an example, consider the sector $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, \gamma = 1, \delta = 1$. Then eq.(26) reduces to

$$\delta_{d(b}\delta_{c)a} - \textstyle\int dXdY\ \Sigma^I(X,Y)_{d(b}\ \int dX'dY'\ \Sigma^I(X',Y')_{c)a}\ =\ \delta_{bc}\delta_{ad} \quad , \tag{27}$$

and we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\int dXdY\ \Sigma^I(X,Y)_{ab}\ &=\ -\frac{1}{4}[e^I e^*_a e_b] \\
\textstyle\int dXdY\ \Sigma^I(X,Y)_{d(b}\int dX'dY'\ \Sigma^I(X',Y')_{c)a}\ &=\ \frac{1}{16}(\delta_{d(b}\delta_{c)a} - \delta_{bc}\delta_{ad}) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We obtain the correct tensor structure, but with an additional coefficient 1/16, which ruins the show. Curiously, we also obtain

$$\Sigma^I(X,Y)_{d(b}\Sigma^I(X,Y)_{c)a}\ =\ \delta_{d(b}\delta_{c)a} - \delta_{bc}\delta_{ad} \quad , \tag{29}$$

which looks, up to the missing integrations, just like eq.(27). Such tantalizing coincidences show up also in other sectors of eq.(26), but we have not been able to use them to define a consistent off-shell supersymmetry algebra in D=(1,9).

## Acknowledgements

I thank N. Berkovits, L. Brink and M. Cederwall for extensive discussions, as well as the organizers of the workshop for an enjoyable meeting.

## References

1. F. Englert, A. Sevrin, W. Troost, A. van Proeyen and Ph. Spindel, *Loop algebras and superalgebras based on* $S^7$, *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988) 281.
2. N. Berkovits, *Twistors, N=8 superconformal invariance, and the Green-Schwarz superstring, Nucl. Phys.* **B358** (1991) 169;
3. L. Brink, M. Cederwall and C.R. Preitschopf, *N=8 superconformal algebra and the superstring, Phys. Lett.* **B311** (1993) 76.
4. M. Cederwall and C.R. Preitschopf, $S^7$ *and* $\widehat{S}^7$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **167** (1995) 373.
5. related constructions can be found in the dimensional reduction of the T-operators in ref. [2] and in: M. Cederwall, *Octonionic particles and the* $S^7$ *symmetry, J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 2285.
6. F. Devefer, W. Troost and Z. Hasiewicz, *Superconformal algebras with N=5,6,7,8: I, II; Class. Quantum Gravity* **8** (1991) 253, 257; Z. Hasiewicz, F. Devefer and W. Troost, *Nonassociative superconformal algebras, J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 2285.
7. H. Samtleben, *Quantum version of the N=8 superconformal algebras, Nucl. Phys.* **B453** (1995) 429.
8. T. Kugo and P. Townsend, *Supersymmetry and the division algebras, Nucl. Phys.* **B221** (1983) 357.